

## Race to the Top Panel Review by Applicant



For Ohio, Phase 2

Selection Criteria	Available	Average Total Score	Average Total Score	Revi	ewer 1	1	Revi	ewer 2	2	Revi	ewer 3	3	Revi	ewer 4	1	Revi	ewer !	5
Status		Tier 1	Tier 2	Соп	pleted	d	Com	pleted	d	Com	pleted	1	Com	plete	1	Com	plete	d
				Tier 1	Tier	2												
A. State Success Factors	125	99.2	111	93	106		87	92		101	120		115	117		100	120	
(A)(1) Articulating State's education reform agenda and LEA's participation in it	65	52.8	58.6	53	53		45	45		46	65		63	65		57	65	
(i) Articulating comprehensive, coherent reform agenda	5	4.6	4.6	5	5		3	3		5	5		5	5		5	5	
(ii) Securing LEA commitment	45	37.8	41.4	39	39		33	33		34	45		43	45		40	45	
(iii) Translating LEA participation into statewide impact	15	10.4	12.6	9	9		9	9		7	15		15	15		12	15	
(A)(2) Building strong statewide capacity to implement, scale up, and sustain proposed plans	30	26	27.8	25	28		21	23		30	30		28	28		26	30	
(i) Ensuring the capacity to implement	20	16.6	18	15	18		14	14		20	20		18	18		16	20	
(ii) Using broad stakeholder support	10	9.4	9.8	10	10		7	9		10	10		10	10		10	10	
(A)(3) Demonstrating significant progress in raising achievement and closing gaps	30	20.4	24.6	15	25		21	24		25	25		24	24		17	25	
(i) Making progress in each reform area	5	4.6	4.6	5	5		4	4		5	5		4	4		5	5	
(ii) Improving	25	15.8	20	10	20		17	20		20	20		20	20		12	20	

B. Standards and Assessments	70	68	68	65	65	65	65	70	70	70	70	70	70	
(B)(1) Developing and adopting common standards	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	
(i) Participating in consortium developing high-quality standards	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
(ii) Adopting standards	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
(B)(2) Developing and implementing common, high- quality assessments	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
(i) Participating in consortium developing high-quality assessments	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
(ii) Including a significant number of States	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
(B)(3) Supporting the transition to enhanced standards and high-quality assessments	20	18	18	15	15	15	15	20	20	20	20	20	20	
C. Data Systems to Support Instruction	47	36.8	37.2	33	33	37	39	43	43	30	30	41	41	
(C)(1) Fully implementing a statewide longitudinal data system	24	18	18	16	16	20	20	20	20	16	16	18	18	
(C)(2) Accessing and using State data	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
(C)(3) Using data to improve instruction	18	13.8	14.2	12	12	12	14	18	18	9	9	18	18	
(i) Increasing the use of instructional improvement systems	6	4.6	4.6	4	4	5	5	6	6	2	2	6	6	

(ii) Supporting LEAs, schools, and teachers in using instructional improvement systems	6	3.8	4.2	3	3	3	5	6	6	1	1	6	6	
(iii) Making the data from instructional improvement systems available to researchers	6	5.4	5.4	5	5	4	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	

D. Great Teachers and	138	111.6	119.6	109	111	103	109	135	135	80	112	131	131	
Leaders														
(D)(1) Providing high-quality pathways for aspiring teachers and principals	21	15.4	15.4	13	13	17	17	18	18	11	11	18	18	
(i) Allowing alternative routes to certification	7	6.6	6.6	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	
(ii) Using alternative routes to certification	7	5	5	3	3	6	6	7	7	2	2	7	7	
(iii) Preparing teachers and principals to fill areas of shortage	7	3.8	3.8	4	4	5	5	4	4	2	2	4	4	
(D)(2) Improving teacher and principal effectiveness based on performance	58	51.4	54	52	52	51	51	58	58	38	51	58	58	
(i) Measuring student growth	5	3.6	3.6	3	3	3	3	5	5	2	2	5	5	
(ii) Developing evaluation systems	15	12.6	14.8	15	15	14	14	15	15	4	15	15	15	
(iii) Conducting annual evaluations	10	8.2	8.6	9	9	8	8	10	10	4	6	10	10	
(iv) Using evaluations to inform key decisions	28	27	27	25	25	26	26	28	28	28	28	28	28	
(D)(3) Ensuring equitable distribution of effective teachers and principals	25	18.4	19.8	20	20	12	12	25	25	14	21	21	21	

(i) Ensuring equitable distribution in high-poverty or high-minority schools	15	10.2	11.6	13	13	7	7	15	15	5	12	11	11	
(ii) Ensuring equitable distribution in hard-to-staff subjects and specialty areas	10	8.2	8.2	7	7	5	5	10	10	9	9	10	10	
(D)(4) Improving the effectiveness of teacher and principal preparation programs	14	11.8	11.8	9	9	13	13	14	14	9	9	14	14	
(i) Linking student data to credentialing programs and reporting publicly	7	5.4	5.4	4	4	7	7	7	7	2	2	7	7	
(ii) Expanding effective programs	7	6.4	6.4	5	5	6	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	П
(D)(5) Providing effective support to teachers and principals	20	14.6	18.6	15	17	10	16	20	20	8	20	20	20	
(i) Providing effective support	10	7.6	9.6	8	10	5	8	10	10	5	10	10	10	
(ii) Continuously improving the effectiveness of the support	10	7	9	7	7	5	8	10	10	3	10	10	10	
_														
E. Turning Around the Lowest- Achieving Schools	50	44	45	40	45	35	35	50	50	50	50	45	45	
(E)(1) Intervening in the lowest-achieving schools and LEAs	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	

(E)(2) Turning around the lowest-achieving

(i) Identifying

persistently lowestachieving schools

(ii) Turning

schools

30

20

35

35

30

around the persistently lowest- achieving schools														
F. General	55	42.8	45	44	50	40	40	43	43	39	44	48	48	
(F)(1) Making education funding a priority	10	9.8	9.8	10	10	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	
(i) Allocating a consistent percentage of State revenue to education	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
(ii) Equitably funding high- poverty schools	5	4.8	4.8	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	
(F)(2) Ensuring successful conditions for high-performing charter schools and other innovative schools	40	28.4	30.6	30	36	27	27	28	28	24	29	33	33	
(i) Enabling high- performing charter schools "(caps)"	8	6.2	6.2	8	8	5	5	4	4	8	8	6	6	
(ii) Authorizing and holding charters accountable for outcomes	8	6	6	8	8	6	6	6	6	3	3	7	7	
(iii) Equitably funding charter schools	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
(iv) Providing charter schools with equitable access to facilities	8	3	3	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	4	4	
(v) Enabling LEAs to operate other innovative, autonomous public schools	8	5.2	7.4	2	8	5	5	8	8	3	8	8	8	
(F)(3) Demonstrating other significant reform conditions	5	4.6	4.6	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	
Subtotal (Calculated before determining whether the	485	402.4	425.8	384	410	367	380	442	461	384	423	435	455	

applicant met the Competitive Preference Priority on STEM)														
Competitive Preference Priority 2: Emphasis on STEM	15	15*	15*	15	15	0	0	15	15	15	15	15	15	
Individual Reviewer Score (see individual reviewer technical review forms)	500			399	425	367	380	457	476	399	438	450	470	

Total 500 417.4 440.8

	FINAL**		Revie			Revie	wer 2	Revie	wer 3	Revie	wer 4	Revie	wer 5	
Absolute Priority - Comprehensive Approach to Education Reform	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes								

<sup>\*</sup> Applicants are eligible for either 0 or 15 points in Competitive Preference Priority 2: Emphasis on STEM. The total awarded to the applicant is not based on an average of individual reviewer scores in this section. Rather, 15 points are added to the applicant's Average Total Score if a majority of reviewers determined that the applicant has met the STEM criteria (indicated by the individual reviewer entering 15 points in that field). If a majority of reviewers award 0 points in this area, 0 points are added to the applicant's Average Total Score.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The applicant will be determined to have met the absolute priority if the majority of reviewers responded "yes".

